

## Wolverhampton City Council

**OPEN INFORMATION ITEM**

Committee / Panel	<b><u>PLANNING COMMITTEE</u></b>	Date 24 <sup>th</sup> April 2012
Originating Service Group(s)	<b>EDUCATION AND ENTERPRISE</b>	
Contact Officer(s)/	<b>CHRIS HOWELL (Section Leader)</b>	<b>STEPHEN ALEXANDER (Head of Development Control and Building Control)</b>
Telephone Number(s)	<b>(01902) 551126</b>	<b>(01902) 555610</b>
Title/Subject Matter	<b>PLANNING APPEALS</b>	

---

**1.0 Purpose of Report**

- 1.1 To provide the Committee with an analysis of planning appeals in respect of decisions of the Council to either refuse planning or advertisement consent or commence enforcement proceedings.

**2.0 Planning Appeals Analysis**

- 2.1 The Appendix to this report sets out the details of new planning appeals, ongoing appeals and those which have been determined by the Planning Inspectorate in respect of the decisions of the Council to either refuse planning or advertisement consent or commence enforcement proceedings.
- 2.2 In relation to the most recent appeal decisions of the Planning Inspectorate i.e. those received since last meeting of the Committee, a copy of the Planning Inspector's decision letter, which fully explains the reasoning behind the decision, is attached to this report. If necessary, Officers will comment further on particular appeals and appeal decisions at the meeting of the Committee.

**3.0 Financial Implications**

- 3.1 Generally, in respect of planning appeals, this report has no specific financial implications for the Council. However, in certain instances, some appeals may involve the Council in special expenditure; this could relate to expenditure involving the appointment of consultants or Counsel to represent or appear on behalf of the Council at Public Inquiries or, exceptionally, if costs are awarded against the Council arising from an allowed planning/enforcement appeal. Such costs will be drawn to the attention of the Committee at the appropriate time.

**4.0 Equal Opportunities/  
Environmental Implications**

- 4.1 None.

**NEW APPEALS**

Appeal Site / Ward / Appellant	Application No / Proposal	Type of Appeal / Date Submitted	Summary of Reasons for Refusal / Requirements of Enforcement Notice
<p>Land Fronting The Firs PH, Windmill Lane, Wolverhampton</p> <p>Tettenhall Wightwick</p> <p>Vodafone Ltd &amp; Telefonica O2 UK Ltd</p>	<p>11/00819/TEL</p> <p>Telecommunication - Installation of electronic apparatus including 12.5m column, antenna, cabinet and associated equipment.</p>	<p>Planning</p> <p>Written representation</p> <p>30.03.2012</p>	<p>The telecommunications equipment would be appear obtrusive, forming an incongruous feature in a visually prominent location.</p> <p>Contrary to UDP policies EP20, D6 and D9 and BCCS policies ENV3 and CSP4</p>
<p>30 Church Hill, Wolverhampton</p> <p>Penn</p> <p>Mr Richard Poole</p>	<p>11/00686/FUL</p> <p>Two storey rear extension and loft conversion with dormer window on both sides.</p>	<p>Planning</p> <p>Fastrack Householder Appeal</p> <p>04.04.2012</p>	<p>The proposed extension would, by reason of its height, bulk and position relative to the house and gardens on the adjoining properties at 32 Church Hill and 28 Church Hill have an unacceptable overbearing impact and loss of privacy and reduce the amount of light and sunlight, on the outlook presently enjoyed by the neighbouring houses.</p> <p>Relevant UDP Policies: D7 &amp; D8 and BCCS Policy ENV3.</p> <p>The proposed dormer windows would, by reason of their height, size, scale and position in relation to the adjoining properties at 32 Church Hill and 28 Church Hill, result in an unacceptable loss of privacy, and would result in a significant amount of overlooking, upon the amenities in respect of immediate outlook and privacy currently enjoyed by the residents of these properties.</p> <p>Relevant UDP Policy: D8</p>

<b>Appeal Site / Ward / Appellant</b>	<b>Application No / Proposal</b>	<b>Type of Appeal / Date Submitted</b>	<b>Summary of Reasons for Refusal / Requirements of Enforcement Notice</b>
<p>7 Foley Avenue, Wolverhampton</p> <p>Tettenhall Wightwick</p> <p>Mr Graham Sharkey</p>	<p>11/01110/FUL</p> <p>Ground and first floor residential extension - change single storey residence into two storey residence.</p>	<p>Planning</p> <p>Fastrack Householder Appeal</p> <p>05.04.2012</p>	<p>The telecommunications equipment would be appear obtrusive, forming an incongruous feature in a visually prominent location.</p> <p>Contrary to UDP policies EP20, D6 and D9 and BCCS policies ENV3 and CSP4</p>

## **ONGOING APPEALS**

	<b><u>Appeal Site / Ward</u></b>	<b><u>Appellant</u></b>
1.	1 Carisbrooke Gardens Wolverhampton	Mr M Evanson
	<b>Bushbury North</b>	
2.	42 Lower Prestwood Road Wolverhampton	Mrs Jane Hammond Bood
	<b>Wednesfield North</b>	
3.	Midland Snacks Bridge Street Heath Town	Midlands Snacks Ltd
	<b>Bushbury South And Low Hill</b>	
4.	Penn Manor Medical Centre Manor Road Penn	Mr Nigel Ford
	<b>Penn</b>	
5.	53 Mount Road Tettenhall Wood Wolverhampton	Mr P Stafford
	<b>Tettenhall Wightwick</b>	
6.	Land Fronting 291 Tettenhall Road Wolverhampton	Vodafone (UK) Ltd And O2 (UK) Ltd
	<b>Park</b>	
7.	Unit 4 Springhill Lane Wolverhampton	Seven Counties Construction Ltd
	<b>Penn</b>	
8.	Ladbrokes Racing Limited 2 North Street Wolverhampton	Wilf Gilbert (Staffs) Ltd
	<b>St Peters</b>	